Impact of Glyphosate on Weed Biomass and Growth of Coconut Seedlings in Nurseries

S.H.S. Senarathne and K.C.P. Perera

Coconut Research Institute, Lunuwila, Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT

The effects of five different weed management systems on growth of coconut seedlings were evaluated to determine an economical and effective method for controlling weeds in coconut nurseries in the low country of the wet zone in Sri Lanka. The treatments comprised of manual weeding (T1), application of glyphosate at 1.44 kg ai/ha (T2), 1.08 kg ai/ha (T3), 0.72 kg ai/ha (T4) and 0.36 kg ai/ha (T5) applied at three-month intervals. Treatments 1 and 2 significantly reduced the weed biomass when compared to other treatments. The growth of coconut seedlings (in terms of height and girth) increased significantly (P<0.05) with the application of glyphosate at 1.08 kg ai/ha (T3) at the end of the nursery growth period. At the higher concentration (1.44 kg ai/ha) the growth of seedlings was reduced at the latter part of the experiment. Therefore, the most cost-effective treatment of controlling weeds in the study was to apply glyphosate at the rate of 1.08 kg ai/ha (31 of commercial mixture/ha). Manual weeding was neither effective nor economical.
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INTRODUCTION

Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) is by far the most extensively cultivated major plantation crop in Sri Lanka (Liyanage and Liyanage, 1989). It is distributed in approximately 0.444 mn ha of land throughout the island (Central Bank, 2002). To establish and maintain proper plantation, a high quality coconut seedling are an essential perquisite. Annually more than 2 mn coconut seedlings are issued to the growers for their replanting program (Plantation Sector Statistical Book, 2002). Therefore, to produce high quality seedlings much attention needs to be paid during the nursery period. Coconut seedlings are raised in nurseries prior to field planting. Proper care and maintenance of seedbeds facilitate the selection of early germinating vigorous seedlings (Peries and Everard, 1993). The inheritance studies carried out by Liyanage and Abeywardana (1957) have showed that seedling vigor is correlated with adult palm characters such as early flowering and high nut and copra yield. In the nursery, apart from irrigation, weeding is very important though expensive. Good weed management can minimize economic losses as reported by Suryaningtyas (1997) and Wibawa (1997). Seedbeds should be weed free; otherwise weeds would compete with coconut seedlings for nutrient and moisture. Each weed species has different effect on growth of plants (Eaton
et al., 1976). However, information on growth reduction of seedlings due to weed competition in the coconut nurseries in Sri Lanka is not available.

The most popular method of weeding in coconut nurseries is hand weeding, which is labor intensive and time consuming (Remison & Mgbeze, 1987). Hand weeding has not been effective, especially for weeds with underground storage organs (Pethiyagoda, 1980). Chemical weeding is also adopted by nursery growers. Glyphosate has a systemic action and it is very effective to control grass weeds in coconut nurseries such as Imperata cylindrica, Cynodon dactylon, Panicum maximum and Cyperus rotundus. These are the common weeds in coconut nurseries of the wet zone. In Sri Lanka, Cyperus rotundus is one of the major weed species found in wet zone coconut nurseries (Gunasekara and Fernando, 1994). Glyphosate is a widely used, non-selective, foliage applied herbicide which is known to be highly toxic to Panicum repens (Manipura & Somaratne, 1974). Glyphosate is also a commonly used herbicide in mature coconut plantations because it is non-selective and affects a wide range of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous annuals, biennials and perennials (Boyall, 1998). However, glyphosate can be harmful to both weeds as well as coconut seedlings. Hence the objective of this study was to identify most economical and effective method of weed control in coconut nurseries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during October 2002 to June 2003 in a coconut nursery located at Wennoruwa in the Low Country Wet Zone of North-Western province of Sri Lanka. The average day temperature at the site was around 27°C and rainfall was uneven with dry spells. All the coconut seed nut were selected from high yielding mother palms. Seed nuts were laid in the nursery beds spaced at 45 cm between rows and 15 cm within rows in October 2002. Each experiment plot measured 2.5 m x 3.0 m containing 40 seed nuts within a nursery bed. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates.

The treatments were Manual weeding (T1), Glyphosate application at 1.44 kg ai/ha (T2), 1.08 kg ai/ha (T3), 0.72 kg ai/ha (T4); 0.36 kg ai/ha (T5) and T6 - No weed control. Glyphosate was applied uniformly over the plot using a hand sprayer at three months intervals starting from October 2002 and ending in May 2003.

Weed biomass: The weed biomass was collected every month from 0.25 m x 0.25 m quadrates from four random points per plot. The weeds were separated into species and dried to constant weight at 80°C for five days from October 2002 to May 2003.

Coconut seedling girth and height: Ten coconut seedlings were selected randomly and plant height and stem girth (base of the seedling) were measured.

Data analysis was conducted using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Statistical software and the significance was tested using the Least Significant Differences (LSD) (P=0.05) (SAS Institute 1999).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of different glyphosate concentrations on weed biomass: Major weed
species in this site were *Imperata cylindrica*, *Panicum repens*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Chloris barbata*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Urena lobata*, *Croton hirtus*, *Allmania nodiflora*, *Mitracarpus villosus*, *Tephrosia purpurea*, *Vernonia cinerea*, *Tridax procumbens*, *Sida acuta*, *Scoparia dulisis*, *Stachytarpheta jamaicensis* and *Hyptis suaveolens*. Weed biomass was lowest in plots which received 1.44 kg ai/ha and 1.08 kg ai/ha of glyphosate respectively (Fig. 1). At the lowest concentration of glyphosate (0.36 kg ai/ha) (T1) and in manual weeding (T0) treatments were not sufficient to suppress the weed population satisfactorily. However, Glyphosate application at 1.44 kg ai/ha (T2), 1.08 kg ai/ha (T3) and 0.72 kg ai/ha (T4) were found to be effective in reducing both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous weeds.

When manual weeding, fast re-growth, especially monocotyledonous weeds was observed, as under ground vegetative plant parts could not be destroyed. Therefore, weeds appeared within a very short period of time. However, dicotyledonous weeds were very easy to control manually. Boyall (1979) showed that when glyphosate was applied; it is translocated to underground rhizomes and destroys all-visible buds. Therefore, the best method of eradicating monocotyledonous weeds is the application of glyphosate. Seed bank of weeds in the soil creates new weed populations, which predominantly comprise of dicotyledonous weeds.

**Effect of glyphosate on coconut seedling growth:** Glyphosate 1.08 kg ai/ha (3 l/ha) significantly increased (P<0.05) the height and girth of seedlings compared to other treatments (Tables 1 & 2). This increase started from the latter part of the nursery growth period with the reduced competition of weeds for soil moisture and nutrients.
Table 1: Effect of weed control treatments on collar girth (cm) of coconut seedlings from October 2002 to May 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; Manual weeding</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>13.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Glyphosate 1.44kg ai/ha</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Glyphosate 1.08kg ai/ha</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>14.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; Glyphosate 0.72kg ai/ha</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; Glyphosate 0.36kg ai/ha</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>13.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; Unweeded control</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>12.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (P=0.05)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the end of the experiment coconut seedlings in the T<sub>3</sub> treatment (Glyphosate at 1.08 kg a.i./ha) were tallest followed by the T<sub>2</sub> (Glyphosate at 1.44 kg a.i./ha) treatment. The highest collar girth increment was found in T<sub>3</sub> (Glyphosate at 1.08 kg a.i./ha) while the second highest increment was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Glyphosate at 0.72 kg a.i./ha) treatment. This indicates that T<sub>2</sub> (Glyphosate at 1.44 kg a.i./ha) treatment is more effective in reducing the weed population with no effect on coconut seedling growth.

Some yellow patches also appeared on leaves of seedlings sprayed with 1.44 kg ai/ha (T<sub>i</sub>) and 1.08 kg ai/ha glyphosate (T<sub>j</sub>). It was noted that manual weeding did not result in any significant increase in growth parameters of the coconut seedlings compared to those in unweeded plots. There were no significant differences between seedlings in control, manual weeded and low concentration of glyphosate used (0.72 kg ai/ha and 0.36 kg ai/ha) plots. The highest growth rate of coconut seedlings was observed in the T<sub>j</sub> treatment (Glyphosate at 1.08 kg ai/ha) (Tables 1 & 2). The rates of seedling height and girth increase were 16.4 cm and 2.2 cm per month respectively in T<sub>j</sub>.

Cost benefit analysis of different weed control method

The costs of different weed control methods are given in the Table 3.
Table 3: Cost analysis of different weed control treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Frequency of treatment application during the nursery period</th>
<th>Weeding cost per 1000 coconut seedlings in one application</th>
<th>Total weeding cost per 1000 coconut seedlings during the nursery period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1- Manual weeding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>4000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2- Glyphosate 1.44 kg ai/ha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>198.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3- Glyphosate 1.08 kg ai/ha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>180.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4- Glyphosate 0.72 kg ai/ha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>156.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5- Glyphosate 0.36 kg ai/ha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>132.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inclued material & labor cost:
Average price of commercial product of glyphosate SL Rs 400 /liter
Average labor wage: SL Rs 500 /man/day
US $ 1 = Sr Lankan (SL) Rs 115

Treatments with glyphosate at 1.44 kg ai/ha and 1.08 kg ai/ha produced the lowest weed biomass, but the most cost-effective method of controlling weeds in the study was to apply glyphosate at the rate of 1.08 kg ai/ha (3 l commercial mixture per ha). Manual weeding was the most expensive. The low concentrations of glyphosate treatments such as T4 and T5 were less expensive but they were not effective in controlling weeds.

**CONCLUSION**

Application of glyphosate at 1.08 kg ai/ha gives the most cost-effective weed control method to produce good quality seedlings in coconut nurseries. Lower concentrations did not control weeds effectively in coconut nurseries.

**REFERENCES**


raising coconut seedlings in polybags.
Cocos, 9: 40-46.


